

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JAMES LEWIS CRAY, RONALD
LEWIS CRAY, ANTONIO CARLOS CRAY,
DENISE DARCEL CRAY, KENJA LYAHMAH
CRAY, JESSICA A. CRAY, CHRISTEN M.
HOWARD, and KANYA R. DALTON, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

DANETTA DALTON,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

FRANKLIN SMITH, RONALD CRAY, and
JOSEPH JONES,

Respondents.

Before: Hood, P.J., and Griffin and O'Connell, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent Danetta Dalton appeals as of right from the juvenile court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The juvenile court did not abuse its discretion in denying respondent Dalton's request for an adjournment. *In re King*, 186 Mich App 458, 466; 465 NW2d 1 (1990).

Also, the juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that grounds for terminating respondent Dalton's parental rights were established under §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g), by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the best interests of the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent Dalton's parental rights.

Affirmed.

/s/ Harold Hood

/s/ Richard Allen Griffin

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell